



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance</b>	<b>Application SC/File No.</b>	09/992,137
	<b>Filing Date</b>	11/14/2001
	<b>First Named Inventor</b>	Ronald Hilton
	<b>Group Art Unit</b>	2128
<b>MAIL STOP: ISSUE FEE</b> <b>Commissioner for Patents</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>Examiner Name</b>	Saxena, Akash
	<b>Attorney Docket No.</b>	AMDH-08155US0 DEL
	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	4654
	<b>Customer No.</b>	21603

In response to the Office action Notice Of Allowability Mailed 03/24/2006, please file these *Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance*.

Applicant's understanding of Mann '295 and the differences between the present invention are set forth in detail in the RESPONSE A of record in this application. To the extent that the Examiner's *Statement of Reasons for Allowance* or anything else in the Notice Of Allowability or otherwise of record differs from RESPONSE A of record, then Applicant disagrees with the Examiner.

In particular, Mann '295 does not permit modified code to be translated. If the Mann '295 code is translated before becoming modified, in that case, the translation is invalidated and interpretation is used for the code in question rather than re-translation. The Mann '295 code which is translated and later modified is not modified code at the time it was translated.

Applicant believes all of the Claims are in condition for allowance but applicant does not agree with the Examiner's interpretation of Mann '295.

Respectfully submitted,

David E. Lovejoy

Reg. No. 22,748